

# Discussion of Lok and Bosch (2020)

Kosuke Imai

Harvard University

Online Causal Inference Seminar

# Contributions

- 1 Reinterpretation of the mediation formula based on the *organic indirect and direct effects* (Lok 2016)
  - avoids cross-world counterfactuals
  - use of stochastic intervention on mediator
- 2 In linear models, the organic indirect and direct effects under the control condition equals the product method of Baron and Kenny
  - the assumption of no treatment-mediator interaction is not required
  - different from the organic indirect and direct effects under the treatment condition
- 3 Application to HIV-treatments

# Natural Indirect and Direct Effects

- Natural indirect effects

$$\zeta(a) = \mathbb{E}\{Y_i(a, M_i(1)) - Y_i(a, M_i(0))\} \quad \text{for } a = 0, 1$$

- Natural direct effects

$$\xi(a) = \mathbb{E}\{Y_i(1, M_i(a)) - Y_i(0, M_i(a))\} \quad \text{for } a = 0, 1$$

- We must infer **cross-world counterfactual**  $\mathbb{E}\{Y_i(a, M_i(a'))\}$  for  $a \neq a'$
- Even in RCT, we need the cross-world independence assumption:

$$Y_i(a', m) \perp\!\!\!\perp M_i(a) \mid A_i = a, C_i \quad \text{for any } a, a' \text{ and } C_i$$

- 1 randomization of mediator does not satisfy this
- 2 no post-treatment confounder allowed

# Organic Indirect and Direct Effects

- Organic indirect effect:

$$\zeta^*(1) = \mathbb{E}\{Y_i(1, M_i(1))\} - \sum_m \mathbb{E}\{Y_i(1, m)\} \cdot \Pr(M_i(0) = m)$$

- Organic direct effect:

$$\xi^*(0) = \sum_m \mathbb{E}\{Y_i(1, m)\} \cdot \Pr(M_i(0) = m) - \mathbb{E}\{Y_i(0, M_i(0))\}$$

- They do not require cross-world counterfactuals and assumptions
- Contrast this with the natural effects counterpart:

$$\mathbb{E}\{Y_i(1, M_i(0))\} = \sum_m \mathbb{E}\{Y_i(1, m) \mid M_i(0) = m\} \cdot \Pr(M_i(0) = m)$$

- Cross-world independence implies:

$$\mathbb{E}\{Y_i(1, m) \mid M_i(0) = m\} = \mathbb{E}\{Y_i(1, m)\}$$

# Relation between Organic and Natural Effects

*Organic indirect and direct effects generalize natural indirect and direct effects: provided that  $M_{1,I=1} = M_0$  exists, the usual cross-worlds assumption implies that the intervention  $I$  that sets the mediator to  $M_0$ ,  $M_{1,I=1} = M_0$ , is organic! (page 5)*

- Questions:

- 1 Natural effects become organic effects under cross-world independence  
 $\rightsquigarrow$  organic effects are special cases of natural effects?
- 2 What does it mean to say  $M_{1,I=1} = M_0$  exists?
  - For natural effects, the intervention is about individual values whereas for organic effects, it is about their distribution
  - This seems only possible in the limiting case where the mediator is a deterministic function of  $A$  and  $C$   $\rightsquigarrow$  violation of positivity assumption

# New Organic Indirect and Direct Effects

- Organic indirect effect:

$$\zeta^*(0) = \sum_m \mathbb{E}\{Y_i(0, m)\} \cdot \Pr(M_i(1) = m) - \mathbb{E}\{Y_i(0, M_i(0))\}$$

- Organic direct effect:

$$\xi^*(0) = \mathbb{E}\{Y_i(1, M_i(1))\} - \sum_m \mathbb{E}\{Y_i(0, m)\} \cdot \Pr(M_i(1) = m)$$

*With the new definition, the product method works for linear models regardless of whether the outcome model has treatment-mediator interaction. (page 7)*

- This is consistent with the standard result for the natural effects
- Product method for binary mediator is also applicable to natural effects

# Concluding Remarks

- Organic indirect and direct effects:
  - avoid cross-world counterfactuals
  - yet the same mediation formula and all the standard results apply
  - different interpretation and same methods
  - appropriateness depends on applications
  
- Future research:
  - general stochastic intervention on mediators
  - potentially useful for high-dimensional mediators