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## Causal Heterogeneity and Interaction Effects

(1) Moderation:

- How does the effect of a treatment vary across individuals?
- Interaction between the treatment variable and pre-treatment covariates
(2) Causal interaction:
- What combination of treatments is efficacious?
- Interaction among multiple treatment variables
(3) Individualized treatment regimes:
- What treatment combination is optimal for a given individual?


## Conjoint Analysis

- Survey experiments with a factorial design
- Respondents evaluate several pairs of randomly selected profiles defined by multiple factors
- Social scientists use it to analyze multidimensional preferences
- Example: Immigration preference (Hopkins and Hainmueller 2014)
- representative sample of 1,407 American adults
- each respondent evaluates 5 pairs of immigrant profiles
- gender ${ }^{2}$, education ${ }^{7}$, origin ${ }^{10}$, experience ${ }^{4}$, $\mathrm{plan}^{4}$, language ${ }^{4}$, profession ${ }^{11}$, application reason ${ }^{3}$, prior trips ${ }^{5}$
- What combinations of immigrant characteristics do Americans prefer?
- High dimension: over 1 million treatment combinations
- Methodological challenges:
- Many interaction effects $\rightsquigarrow$ false positives, difficulty of interpretation
- Very few applied researchers study interaction


## The Overview of the Talk

(1) New causal estimand: Average Marginal Interaction Effect (AMIE)

- relative magnitude does not depend on baseline condition
- intuitive interpretation even for high dimension
- estimation using ANOVA with weighted zero-sum constraints
- regularization done directly on AMIEs
(2) Comparison with the conventional interaction effect:
- lack of invariance to the choice of baseline condition
- difficulty of interpretation for higher-order interaction
(3) Reanalysis of the conjoint analysis on ethnic voting in Africa


## Factorial Experiments with Two Treatments

- Two factorial treatments (e.g., gender and race):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \in \mathcal{A}=\left\{a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{L_{A}-1}\right\} \\
& B \in \mathcal{B}=\left\{b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{L_{B}-1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Assumption: Full factorial design
(1) Randomization of treatment assignment

$$
\left\{Y\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m}\right)\right\}_{a_{\ell} \in \mathcal{A}, b_{m} \in \mathcal{B}} \quad \Perp \quad\{A, B\}
$$

(2) Non-zero probability for all treatment combination

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left(A=a_{\ell}, B=b_{m}\right) \quad>0 \quad \text { for all } a_{\ell} \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text { and } \quad b_{m} \in \mathcal{B}
$$

- Fractional factorial design not allowed
(1) Use a small non-zero assignment probability
(2) Focus on a subsample
(3) Combine treatments


## Main Causal Estimands in Factorial Experiments

(1) Average Combination Effect (ACE):

- Average effect of treatment combination $(A, B)=\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m}\right)$ relative to the baseline condition $(A, B)=\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$

$$
\tau_{A B}\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left\{Y\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m}\right)-Y\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

- Effect of being Asian male
(2) Average Marginal Effect (AME; Hainmueller et al. 2014; Dasgupta et al. 2015):
- Average effect of treatment $A=a_{\ell}$ relative to the baseline condition $A=a_{0}$ averaging over the other treatment $B$

$$
\psi_{A}\left(a_{\ell}, a_{0}\right)=\int \mathbb{E}\left\{Y\left(a_{\ell}, B\right)-Y\left(a_{0}, B\right)\right\} d F(B)
$$

- Effect of being male averaging over race


## The New Causal Interaction Effect

- Average Marginal Interaction Effect (AMIE):

$$
\pi_{A B}\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)=\underbrace{\tau_{A B}\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)}_{\text {ACE of }\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m}\right)}-\underbrace{\psi_{A}\left(a_{\ell}, a_{0}\right)}_{\text {AME of } a_{\ell}}-\underbrace{\psi_{B}\left(b_{m}, b_{0}\right)}_{\text {AME of } b_{m}}
$$

- Interpretation: additional effect induced by $A=a_{\ell}$ and $B=b_{m}$ together beyond the separate effect of $A=a_{\ell}$ and that of $B=b_{m}$
- Additional effect of being Asian male beyond the sum of separate effects for being male and being Asian
- Decomposition of ACE: $\tau_{A B}=\psi_{A}+\psi_{B}+\pi_{A B}$
- Invariance: the relative magnitude of AMIE does not depend on the choice of baseline condition
- AMIEs depend on the distribution of treatment assignment:
(1) specified by one's experimental design
(2) motivated by a target population


## The Conventional Causal Interaction Effect

- Average Interaction Effect (AIE):

$$
\xi_{A B}\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)=\mathbb{E}\left\{Y\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m}\right)-Y\left(a_{0}, b_{m}\right)-Y\left(a_{\ell}, b_{0}\right)+Y\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

- Equal to linear regression coefficients
- Interactive effect interpretation (similar to AMIE):

$$
\underbrace{\tau_{A B}\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)}_{\text {ACE of }\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m}\right)}-\underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left\{Y\left(a_{\ell}, b_{0}\right)-Y\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\right\}}_{\text {Effect of } A=a_{\ell} \text { when } B=b_{0}}-\underbrace{\mathbb{E}\left\{Y\left(a_{0}, b_{m}\right)-Y\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\right\}}_{\text {Effect of } B=b_{m} \text { when } A=a_{0}}
$$

- Conditional effect interpretation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}\left\{Y\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m}\right)-Y\left(a_{0}, b_{m}\right)\right\}-\mathbb{E}\left\{Y\left(a_{\ell}, b_{0}\right)-Y\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\right\} \\
= & \mathbb{E}\left\{Y\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m}\right)-Y\left(a_{\ell}, b_{0}\right)\right\}-\mathbb{E}\left\{Y\left(a_{0}, b_{m}\right)-Y\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

- difference in effect of being male between Asian and White
- difference in effect of being Asian between male and female


## Comparison between AMIE and AIE

- AIE is NOT invariant to baseline category:
(1) cannot compare regression coefficients
(2) zero interaction when a baseline category is involved

$$
\xi_{A B}\left(a_{\ell}, b_{0} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)=\xi_{A B}\left(a_{0}, b_{m} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } \ell, m
$$

(3) cannot regularize regression coefficients

- AMIE and AIE are closely related:
(1) Conditional effect as a function of AMIE

$$
\mathbb{E}\left\{Y_{i}\left(a_{\ell}, b_{0}\right)-Y_{i}\left(a_{0}, b_{0}\right)\right\}=\psi_{A}\left(a_{\ell} ; a_{0}\right)+\pi_{A B}\left(a_{\ell}, b_{0} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)
$$

(2) AIE is a linear function of AMIEs
$\xi_{A B}\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)=\pi_{A B}\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)-\pi_{A B}\left(a_{\ell}, b_{0} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)-\pi_{A B}\left(a_{0}, b_{m} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)$
(3) AMIE is also a linear function of AIEs
(9) No causal interaction $\rightsquigarrow$ zero AMIEs, zero AIEs

## Higher-order Causal Interaction

- $J$ factorial treatments with $L_{j}$ levels each: $\mathbf{T}=\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{J}\right)$
- Assumptions:
(1) Full factorial design

$$
Y(\mathbf{t}) \quad \Perp \quad \mathbf{T} \text { and } \operatorname{Pr}(\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{t})>0 \quad \text { for all } \mathbf{t}
$$

(2) Independent treatment assignment

$$
T_{j} \quad \Perp \quad \mathbf{T}_{-j} \quad \text { for all } j
$$

- Assumption 2 is not necessary for identification but considerably simplifies estimation
- We are interested in the $K$-way interaction where $K \leq J$
- We extend all the results for the 2-way interaction to this general case


## Higher-order Average Marginal Interaction Effect

- General definition: the difference between ACE and the sum of all lower-order AMIEs (first-order AMIE $=$ AME)
- Example: 3 -way AMIE, $\pi_{1: 3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} ; t_{01}, t_{02}, t_{03}\right)$, equals

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\tau_{1: 3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} ; t_{01}, t_{02}, t_{03}\right)}_{\text {ACE }} \\
& -\underbrace{\left\{\pi_{1: 2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2} ; t_{01}, t_{02}\right)+\pi_{2: 3}\left(t_{2}, t_{3} ; t_{02}, t_{03}\right)+\pi_{1: 3}\left(t_{1}, t_{3} ; t_{01}, t_{03}\right)\right\}}_{\text {sum of all 2-way AMIEs }} \\
& -\underbrace{\left\{\psi\left(t_{1} ; t_{01}\right)+\psi\left(t_{2} ; t_{02}\right)+\psi\left(t_{3} ; t_{03}\right)\right\}}_{\text {sum of AMEs }}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Properties:
(1) $K$-way $\mathrm{ACE}=$ the sum of all $K$-way and lower-order AMIEs
(2) Invariance to the baseline condition


## Difficulty of Higher-order AIEs

- Generalize the 2-way ATIE by marginalizing the other treatments $\underline{\underline{T}}^{1: 2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_{1: 2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2} ; t_{01}, t_{02}\right)=\int & \mathbb{E}\left\{Y\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \mathbf{T}^{1: 2}\right)-Y\left(t_{01}, t_{2}, \mathbf{T}^{1: 2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-Y\left(t_{1}, t_{02}, \mathbf{T}^{1: 2}\right)+Y\left(t_{01}, t_{02}, \mathbf{\underline { T }}^{1: 2}\right)\right\} d F\left(\mathbf{T}^{1: 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- In the literature, the 3-way ATIE is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{1: 3}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3} ; t_{01}, t_{02}, t_{03}\right) \\
= & \underbrace{\xi_{1: 2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2} ; t_{01}, t_{02} \mid T_{3}=t_{3}\right)}_{\text {2-way AIE when } T_{3}=t_{3}}-\underbrace{\xi_{1: 2}\left(t_{1}, t_{2} ; t_{01}, t_{02} \mid T_{3}=t_{03}\right)}_{\text {2-way AIE when } T_{3}=t_{03}}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Higher-order ATIEs are similarly defined sequentially
- This representation is based on the conditional effect interpretation
- Problem: conditional effect of conditional effects!


## Nonparametric Estimation of AMIE

(1) Difference-in-means estimator

- estimate ACE and AMEs using the difference-in-means estimators
- estimate AMIE as $\hat{\pi}_{A B}=\hat{\tau}_{A B}-\hat{\psi}_{A}-\hat{\psi}_{B}$
- higher-order AMIEs can be estimated sequentially
- uses the empirical treatment assignment distribution
(2) ANOVA based estimator
- saturated ANOVA include all interactions up to the Jth order
- weighted zero-sum constraints: for all factors and levels,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\ell=0}^{L_{A}-1} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i}=a_{\ell}\right) \beta_{\ell}^{A}=0, \quad \sum_{\ell=0}^{L_{A}-1} \operatorname{Pr}\left(A_{i}=a_{\ell}\right) \beta_{\ell m}^{A B}=0, \\
& \sum_{m=0}^{L_{B}-1} \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{i}=b_{m}\right) \beta_{m}^{B}=0, \quad \sum_{m=0}^{L_{B}-1} \operatorname{Pr}\left(B_{i}=b_{m}\right) \beta_{\ell m}^{A B}=0, \quad \text { and so on }
\end{aligned}
$$

- AMIEs are differences of coefficients:

$$
\mathbb{E}\left(\hat{\beta}_{\ell}^{A}-\hat{\beta}_{0}^{A}\right)=\psi_{A}\left(a_{\ell} ; a_{0}\right), \quad \mathbb{E}\left(\hat{\beta}_{\ell m}^{A B}-\hat{\beta}_{00}^{A B}\right)=\pi_{A B}\left(a_{\ell}, b_{m} ; a_{0}, b_{0}\right)
$$

- can use any marginal treatment assignment distribution of choice


## Regularization via GASH-ANOVA

- Too many coefficients to be estimated $\rightsquigarrow$ over fitting, false positives, difficult interpretation
- Need for regularization by collapsing levels and selecting factors
- Grouping and Selection using Heredity in ANOVA (Post and Bondell):

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{\ell, \ell^{\prime}} w_{\ell \ell^{\prime}}^{j} \max \left\{\phi^{j}\left(\ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)\right\} \leq c
$$

where

- The adaptive weight takes the following form:

$$
w_{\ell \ell^{\prime}}^{j}=\left[\left(L_{j}+1\right) \sqrt{L_{j}} \max \left\{\bar{\phi}^{j}\left(\ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right]^{-1}
$$

where $\bar{\phi}^{j}\left(\ell, \ell^{\prime}\right)$ is AMEs and AMIEs estimated without regularization

## Conjoint Analysis of Ethnic Voting in Africa

- Ethnic voting and accountability: Carlson (2015, World Politics)
- Do voters prefer candidates of same ethnicity regardless of their prior performance? Do ethnicity and performance interact?
- Conjoint analysis in Uganda: 547 voters from 32 villages
- Each voter evaluates 3 pairs of hypothetical candidates
- 5 factors: Coethnicity ${ }^{2}$, Prior record ${ }^{2}$, Prior office ${ }^{4}$, Platform ${ }^{3}$, Education ${ }^{8}$
- Prior record $=$ No if Prior office $=$ businessman $\rightsquigarrow$ combine these two factors into a single factor with 7 levels
- Collapse Education into 2 levels: relevant degrees (MA in business, law, economics, development) and other degrees


## A Statistical Model of Preference Differentials

- ANOVA regression with one-way and two-way effects:

$$
Y_{i}\left(\mathbf{T}_{i}\right)=\mu+\sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L_{j}-1} \beta_{\ell}^{j} \mathbf{1}\left\{T_{i j}=\ell\right\}+\sum_{j \neq j^{\prime}}^{L_{j}-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L_{j}} \sum_{m=0}^{L_{j^{\prime}}-1} \beta_{\ell m}^{j^{\prime}} \mathbf{1}\left\{T_{i j}=\ell, T_{i j^{\prime}}=m\right\}+\epsilon_{i}
$$

with appropriate weighted zero-sum constraints

- In conjoint analysis, we observe the sign of preference differentials
- Linear probability model of preference differential:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Pr}\left(Y_{i}\left(\mathbf{T}_{i}^{*}\right)>Y_{i}\left(\mathbf{T}_{i}^{\star}\right) \mid \mathbf{T}_{i}^{*}, \mathbf{T}_{i}^{\star}\right) \\
= & \mu^{*}+\sum_{j=1}^{J} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L_{j}-1} \beta_{\ell}^{j}\left(\mathbf{1}\left\{T_{i j}^{*}=\ell\right\}-\mathbf{1}\left\{\mathbf{T}_{i j}^{\star}=\ell\right\}\right) \\
& +\sum_{j \neq j^{\prime}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{L_{j}-1} \sum_{m=0}^{L_{j^{\prime}-1}} \beta_{\ell m}^{j j^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{1}\left\{T_{i j}^{*}=\ell, T_{i j^{\prime}}^{*}=m\right\}-\mathbf{1}\left\{T_{i j}^{\star}=\ell, T_{i j^{\prime}}^{\star}=m\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu^{*}=0.5$ if the position of profile does not matter

- We apply GASH-ANOVA to this model


## Ranges of Estimated AMEs and AMIEs

|  | Range | Selection <br> prob. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AME | 0.122 | 1.00 |
| $\quad$ Record | 0.053 | 1.00 |
| Coethnicity | 0.023 | 0.93 |
| Platform | 0.000 | 0.33 |
| Degree |  |  |
| AMIE | 0.053 | 1.00 |
| $\quad$ Coethnicity $\times$ Record | 0.030 | 0.92 |
| Record $\times$ Platform | 0.008 | 0.64 |
| Platform $\times$ Coethnic | 0.000 | 0.62 |
| Coethnicity $\times$ Degree | 0.000 | 0.35 |
| Platform $\times$ Degree | 0.000 | 0.09 |
| $\quad$ Record $\times$ Degree |  |  |

- Factor selection probability based on bootstrap


## Close Look at the Estimated AMEs

| Factor | AME | Selection prob. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Record |  |  |
| ¢ Yes/Village | 0.122 | ) 0.71 |
| \{ Yes/District | 0.122 | ) 0.71 |
| ( Yes/MP | 0.101 | ) 1.00 |
| (No/Village | 0.047 | ) 1.00 |
| $\{$ No/District | 0.051 | ) 0.74 |
| (No/MP | 0.047 | ) 0.74 |
| \{ No/Businessman | base | ) 1.00 |
| Platform |  |  |
| \{ Jobs | -0.023 |  |
| \{ Clinic | -0.023 | ) 0.56 |
| \{ Education | base | ) 0.94 |
| Coethnicity | 0.054 | 1.00 |
| Degree | 0.000 | 0.33 |

## Effect of Regularization on AMIEs



## Decomposition and Conditional Effects

- Decomposition of ACE (Coethnicity $\times$ Record interaction):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\underbrace{\tau(\text { Coethnic, No/Business; Non-coethnic, No/MP) }}_{-2.4} \\
=\underbrace{\psi(\text { Coethnic; Non-coethnic })}_{5.4}+\underbrace{\psi(\text { No/Business; No/MP })}_{-4.7} \\
+\underbrace{\pi(\text { Coethnic, No/Business; Non-coethnic, No/MP) }}_{-3.1}
\end{aligned}
$$

- Conditional effects (Platform $\times$ Record interaction):
- AMIE: $\pi$ (Education, No/MP $\} ;\{$ Job, No/MP $\})=-2.3$
- Conditional effect of Education relative to Job for No/MP is approximately zero
- AME: $\psi$ (Education; Job) $=2.3$


## Concluding Remarks

- Interaction effects play an essential role in causal heterogeneity
(1) moderation
(2) causal interaction
- Randomized experiments with a factorial design
(1) useful for testing multiple treatments and their interactions
(2) social science applications: audit studies, conjoint analysis
(3) challenge: estimation and interpretation in high dimension
- Average Marginal Interaction Effect (AMIE)
(1) invariant to baseline condition
(2) straightforward interpretation even for high order interaction
(3) enables effect decomposition
(9) enables regularization through ANOVA
- Designing factorial experiments (work in progress)
(1) select factors and levels via our method to reduce dimension
(2) use unregularized ANOVA for the main study
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